# Unsteady Scalar Conservation Law: Implicit & Explicit



Group of Computational Fluid Dynamics School of Aerospace Engineering Universiti Sains Malaysia 25<sup>th</sup> May 2016. Presenter : Neoh Soon Sien Supervisor : Dr. Farzad Ismail





### 1) Implicit Five-Stage Runge-Kutta Method

### 2) Implicit Scheme for Circular Advection & Burgers' Equation

3) Explicit Runge-Kutta for Time-Dependent Problem

In this section, we will discuss about the implicit five-stage Runge-Kutta method. (A Jameson 1991)

Implicit Five-Stage Nethod Runge-Kutta Method

Next, we will investigate the implicit scheme with pseudo-time iteration without the five-stage Runge-Kutta subiterations. (Rossiello et al.)

# Implicit Five-Stage Runge-Kutta Method

This method is originally proposed by A. Jameson in year 1991. Scalar conservation law is given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \vec{a} \cdot \nabla u = 0$$

In <u>linear advection</u> problem,  $\vec{a}$  is always constant for all time step.

Two time-level 
$$\sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \sum_{j \in T} m_{ij}^{T} \frac{u_{j}^{n+1} - [u_{j}^{n}]}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \beta_{i}^{T} (\phi^{T,n+1} + \phi^{T,n}) = 0$$

$$\phi^{T,n+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi^{T,n+1} + \phi^{T,n})$$
Three time-level 
$$\sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \sum_{j \in T} m_{ij}^{T} \frac{3u_{j}^{n+1} - 4u_{ij}^{n} + u_{j}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \beta_{i}^{T} \phi^{T,n+1} = 0$$

In <u>non-linear</u> advection problem,  $\vec{a}$  changes with time.

Two time-level 
$$\sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \sum_{j \in T} \left( m_{ij}^{T,n+1} \frac{u_{j}^{n+1}}{\Delta t} - m_{ij}^{T,n} \frac{u_{j}^{n}}{\Delta t} \right) + \sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \beta_{i}^{T,n+1} \phi^{T,n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{i}^{T,n} \phi^{T,n} \right) = 0$$
  
Three time-level 
$$\sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \sum_{j \in T} \left( m_{ij}^{T,n+1} \frac{3u_{j}^{n+1}}{2\Delta t} - m_{ij}^{T,n} \frac{2u_{j}^{n}}{\Delta t} + m_{ij}^{T,n-1} \frac{u_{j}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \right) + \sum_{T \in \Delta_{i}} \beta_{i}^{T,n+1} \phi^{T,n+1} = 0$$

$$u^{n-1}$$

$$u^{n}$$

$$u^{n+1}$$

$$u^{(0)} = u^{k}$$

$$u^{(1)} = u^{(0)} - \alpha_1 \frac{\Delta t^*}{S_i} R(u^{(0)})$$

$$u^{(2)} = u^{(0)} - \alpha_2 \frac{\Delta t^*}{S_i} R(u^{(1)})$$

$$u^{(3)} = u^{(0)} - \alpha_3 \frac{\Delta t^*}{S_i} R(u^{(2)})$$

$$u^{(3)} = u^{(0)} - \alpha_3 \frac{\Delta t^*}{S_i} R(u^{(2)})$$

$$u^{(4)} = u^{(0)} - \alpha_4 \frac{\Delta t^*}{S_i} R(u^{(3)})$$

$$u^{(5)} = u^{(0)} - \alpha_5 \frac{\Delta t^*}{S_i} R(u^{(4)})$$

$$u^{k+1} = u^{(5)}$$
Physical and Pseudo-time  

$$\Delta t^*_i = \Delta t_i = CFL \frac{2}{3} \frac{\min}{T \in \Delta t_i} \frac{2}{T \in \Delta t_i}$$

do-time steps:

 $\frac{2}{3} \min_{T \in \Delta_i} \frac{S_T}{\sum_{j \in T} k_j^+}$ 

#### In linear advection problem:

Two time-level 
$$R(u^{(l)}) = \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \sum_{j \in T} m_{ij}^T \frac{u_j^{(l)} - u_j^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \beta_i^T (\phi^{T,(l)} + \phi^{T,n})$$
  
Three time-level  $R(u^{(l)}) = \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \sum_{j \in T} m_{ij}^T \frac{3u_j^{(l)} - 4u_j^n + u_j^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \beta_i^T \phi^{T,(l)}$ 

In **<u>non-linear</u>** advection problem:

Two time-level 
$$R(u^{(l)}) = \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \sum_{j \in T} \left( m_{ij}^{T,(l)} \frac{u_j^{(l)}}{\Delta t} - m_{ij}^{T,n} \frac{u_j^n}{\Delta t} \right) + \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \left( \frac{1}{2} \beta_i^{T,(l)} \phi^{T,(l)} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_i^{T,n} \phi^{T,n} \right)$$
  
Three time-level  $R(u^{(l)}) = \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \sum_{j \in T} \left( m_{ij}^{T,(l)} \frac{3u_j^{(l)}}{2\Delta t} - m_{ij}^{T,n} \frac{2u_j^n}{\Delta t} + m_{ij}^{T,n-1} \frac{u_j^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \right) + \sum_{T \in \Delta_i} \beta_i^{T,(l)} \phi^{T,(l)}$ 

# Implicit Method (without the Five Stage Runge-Kutta Method)



Physical and Pseudo-time steps:

$$\Delta t_i^* = \Delta t_i = CFL \frac{2}{3} \min_{T \in \Delta_i} \frac{S_T}{\sum_{j \in T} k_j^+}$$

Implicit Scheme for Circular Advection & Burgers' Equation

- Study the validity of implicit scheme with and without the five-stage Runge-Kutta sub-iterations.
- Investigating the implicit scheme for twotime-level and also three-time-level of the time derivatives discretisation.

# **Cralar** Advection

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (-2\pi y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + (2\pi x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \qquad \Omega_t = \Omega \times [0,1]$$
In spatial domain of  $\Omega = [-1,1] \times [-1,1]$ 
Initial Condition:
$$u(r,0) = \begin{cases} \cos^2(2\pi r), & \text{if } r \le 0.25 \\ 0, & \text{if } r > 0.25 \end{cases} \qquad r = \sqrt{(x+0.5)^2 + y^2}$$
Boundary Condition:
$$u(r,t) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad (x,y) \in \partial\Omega$$



# By increasing the CFL numbers from 0.9 to 5.0, there will be some effects on the L2 and L1 errors.



The contour plot and the cross-sectional plot for  $100 \times 100$  diamond grid are plotted.





# Types of Solution









#### Weak Solution or Generalised Solution

- For some test case there is only *weak solution* but no *classical solution*.
- This is because the analytical (or exact) solution might not be
  - i. continuous
  - ii. smooth (differentiable)
- Riemann's problem is one typical example which has weak solution only.
- The weak solution exist as long as it fulfils the following conditions:
  - i. at points of continuity

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = 0$$

ii. at points of discontinuity - jump (shock) condition

$$-s(u^{-} - u^{+}) + (F^{-} - F^{+}) = 0$$

or

$$s(u^{-} - u^{+}) = (F^{-} - F^{+})$$

 In gas dynamics, this jump (shock) condition is known as *Rankine-Hugoniot* condition. Two general test cases of Riemann's problem:





- For some test case there is only weak solution but no classical solution.
- The analytical (or exact) solution must be
  - i. continuous
  - ii. smooth (differentiable)
- Only PDE with classical solution can be used for the second order accuracy test.
- Examples are fully expansive waves, and their initial data are:



### Burgers' Equation – Linear Initial Data

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0$$

Spatial domain:  $\Omega \in [0,1]^2$ Time domain:  $t \in [0,1]$ Initial data:  $u(x,y,0) = \frac{x+y}{2}$ Final Solution:  $u(x,y,1) = \frac{x+y}{4}$ Boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$  for all  $t \in (0,1]$ :  $u(x,y,t) = \frac{x+y}{2+2t}$ 



The initial condition and exact final solution for  $100 \times 100$  diamond grid are given for linear test case.





Burgers' Equation – Quadratic Initial Data  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = 0$ **Time domain:**  $t \in [0, 1]$ **Spatial domain:**  $\Omega \in [0, 1]^2$ Initial data:  $u(x, y, 0) = \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^2$ **Final Solution:**  $u(x, y, 1) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 2(x + y)}\right)^2$ **Boundary conditions on**  $\partial \Omega$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ :  $u(x, y, t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{2t}\sqrt{1 + 2t(x + y)}\right)^{2}$ t = 1t = 0и и 1 1 ▶ x, y • x, y 1 1 2

The initial condition and exact final solution for  $100 \times 100$  diamond grid are given for quadratic test case.





This is the work proposed by Ricchiuto & Abgrall (2010).

Explicit Runse-Kutta for Explicit Runse-Kutta problem

The explicit scheme can be implemented in two ways, either two-stage Runge-Kutta or three-stage Runge-Kutta intermediate steps. We will emphasise only on he former.

# Explicit Two-Stage Runge-Kutta Method



### **Cralar** Advection



The contour plot and the cross-sectional plot for  $100\times100$  diamond grid are plotted.



# Burgers' Equation – Linear Initial Data



# Burgers' Equation – Quadratic Initial Data

